

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

KENDRA SULLIVAN, MARIANNA
WILLIAMS, JOHANNA MATHEWS,
SHARMARRAY ROSS, STACI
GILMAN, KYSHA DREW AND JEANNIE
JONES, individually and as representatives
of the class,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SAFEWAY INC., THE VONS
COMPANIES, INC., VONS SHERMAN
OAKS, LLC, SAFEWAY SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA, INC., AND SAFEWAY
LEASING, INC.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-cv-03187-MMC (Consolidated)

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT;
GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN
PART MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES,
COSTS, AND REPRESENTATIVE
SERVICE PAYMENTS

1 BEFORE THE COURT are Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
2 and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, and Representative Service Payments, submitted by Plaintiffs
3 Kendra Sullivan, Marianna Williams, Johanna Mathews, Sharmarray Ross, Kysha Drew, Jeannie
4 Jones, and Staci Gilman (“Plaintiffs” or “Class Representatives”), individually, and on behalf of all
5 Settlement Class Members pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. Dkt. Nos. 109, 110. A
6 Final Fairness Hearing was held on June 11, 2021.

7 The Court, having considered both Motions, the submissions of the Parties relating to the
8 proposed Settlement, the arguments of counsel at the Final Fairness Hearing as well as the pleadings
9 and papers on file herein, is of the opinion that the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action
10 Settlement should be granted, and that the Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, and Representative
11 Service Payments should be granted as to attorneys’ fees and costs and granted in part as to Class
12 Representative service awards.

13 It is therefore ORDERED that Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action
14 Settlement is GRANTED and that Plaintiffs’ Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, and Representative
15 Service Payments is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. Accordingly, it is further
16 ORDERED as follows, and the Court makes the findings set forth below:

17 1. Settlement Agreement. “The Class Action Settlement Agreement” (“Agreement”) that
18 was submitted with Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (Dkt. No.
19 98-1); the Exhibits to the Agreement as revised in Dkt. No. 104; and the definitions of words and terms
20 contained in the Agreement are incorporated in this Order.

21 2. Preliminary Approval Order. On February 19, 2021, this Court entered an Order
22 Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Dkt. No. 107
23 (“Preliminary Approval Order”). The Agreement was preliminarily approved, pending the Final
24 Fairness Hearing. The Court also (a) conditionally certified the Settlement Class for settlement
25 purposes; (b) approved the form of and method of distribution of the Settlement Notice and Claim
26 Form to the Settlement Class; (c) appointed Kendra Sullivan, Marianna Williams, Johanna Mathews,
27 Sharmarray Ross, Kysha Drew, Jeannie Jones, and Staci Gilman as Class Representatives for the
28 Settlement Class; (d) appointed J. Nelson Thomas, Jessica L. Lukasiewicz, and Jonathan W. Ferris of

1 THOMAS & SOLOMON LLP, Steven Tindall and Jeffrey Kosbie of GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP, and
2 Rosa Vigil-Gallenberg of GALLENBERG PC as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class; and (e)
3 appointed American Legal Claims Services, LLC, as the Claims Administrator. The terms of and
4 findings made in the Preliminary Approval Order are adopted and incorporated into this Order.

5 3. Final Class Certification for Settlement Purposes. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
6 Procedure 23(b)(3), the Court finally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following class, to be
7 known as the “Settlement Class,” which consists of two subclasses as defined in the Agreement: the
8 “Safeway Subclass” and the “Vons Subclass:”

9
10 All employees and/or prospective employees of Defendants within the United States
11 who were the subject of a consumer report by Defendants anytime between and
12 including June 6, 2017, and November 25, 2019, inclusive, for the Safeway Subclass,
and February 20, 2018, and November 25, 2019, inclusive, for the Vons Subclass.

13 “Safeway Subclass” means:

14 All employees and/or prospective employees of Defendant Safeway Inc. within the
15 United States who were the subject of a consumer report anytime between and including
June 6, 2017, and November 25, 2019, inclusive.

16 “Vons Subclass” means:

17 All employees and/or prospective employees, if any, of The Vons Companies, Inc.,
18 including the banner or trade name Pavilions, Vons Sherman Oaks, LLC, Safeway
19 Southern California, Inc., and/or Safeway Leasing, Inc. within the United States who
20 were the subject of a consumer report anytime between and including February 20,
2018, and November 25, 2019, inclusive.

21 4. Prerequisites for Class Action. Solely for the purposes of settlement, the Court finds
22 that the prerequisites for a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) are satisfied for the
23 following reasons:

- 24 (a) The Settlement Class appears so numerous that joinder of all members is
25 impracticable. The Settlement Class consists of approximately 145,134
26 members;
- 27 (b) There appear to be questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class for
28 purposes of determining whether the settlement should be approved;

1 (c) The Class Representatives' claims for the alleged violations of the disclosure
2 provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act appear to be typical of the claims of
the Settlement Class; and

3 (d) The Class Representatives and Class Counsel appear to be capable of fairly and
4 adequately protecting the interests of the Settlement Class Members in
connection with the proposed settlement.

5 5. Rule 23(b)(3) Class Action. The Court finds, for settlement purposes, that this action is
6 maintainable as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because:

7 (a) Common questions of law and fact appear to predominate over questions
8 affecting only individual persons in the Settlement Class; and,

9 (b) Certification of the Settlement Class appears to be superior to other available
10 methods for the fair and efficient resolution of the claims of the Settlement Class
members.

11 6. Notice of Class Action Settlement to the Settlement Class. Pursuant to the Preliminary
12 Approval Order and the Agreement, the Summary Notice was emailed and/or mailed to members of the
13 Settlement Class. The Court finds that the form, content, and method for notifying the Settlement Class
14 comply with the Preliminary Approval Order, meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
15 23(c)(2)(B) and all due process requirements, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and
16 constitutes due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice. The Court further finds that the
17 Claims Administrator has complied with the Preliminary Approval Order and with the requirements of and
18 procedures under the Agreement for distribution of the Notice to the Settlement Class.

19 7. Notice Under CAFA. The Claims Administrator timely served notices of the proposed
20 Settlement on the United States Attorney General and Attorneys General for the States in which
21 Settlement Class Members reside pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA") of 2005, 28
22 U.S.C. § 1715. The Court finds that the Claims Administrator's notification of the proposed settlement
23 fully complies with the requirements of CAFA.

24 8. Exclusions. Settlement Class Members were notified in the Settlement Notice of this
25 class action Settlement and of their opportunity to request to be excluded from, or to opt out of, the
26 Settlement Class. Ninety-three (93) individuals, or approximately 0.06% of the Settlement Class,
27 submitted timely written exclusion/opt-out statements to the Claims Administrator.

28 9. Objections to Settlement. Settlement Class Members were also notified in the Settlement

1 Notice of their opportunity to object to the Settlement by filing written objections with the Court. Neither
2 this Court nor the Parties received any objections to the Settlement.

3 10. Final Approval of Settlement and Agreement. The Court grants final approval to the
4 proposed Settlement and the Agreement submitted with Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of
5 Class Action Settlement. The Court finds that settlement on the terms set forth in the Agreement is fair,
6 reasonable, and adequate and that the Settlement is, in all respects, in the best interests of the Settlement
7 Class. Factors considered to assess the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of a class action settlement
8 warrant final approval of the Settlement and Agreement. The Court further finds that the Settlement set
9 forth in the Agreement resulted from arm's-length negotiations. The Parties are ordered to consummate
10 the Agreement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Agreement.

11 11. Within five (5) days of the Final Effective Date as defined in the Agreement, Plaintiffs
12 will promptly request a dismissal with prejudice of *Kysha Drew and Jeannie Jones, et al. v. The Vons*
13 *Companies, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 8:20-cv-00347-DOE-JDE and *Staci Gilman, et al. v. The Vons*
14 *Companies, Inc. and Vons Sherman Oaks, LLC*, Case No. 8:20-cv-00681-DOE-JDE, both pending in
15 the United States District Court for the Central District of California, so that the final judgment in the
16 Action will resolve all of their individual and class action claims.

17 12. Payment to Settlement Class. The Claims Administrator shall cause payment to be
18 issued to Settlement Class Members who submitted valid Claim Forms pursuant to the terms for
19 calculating Individual Settlement Payments set forth in Paragraph 42 the Agreement. The Claims
20 Administrator shall either mail settlement checks to Settlement Class Members at their last-known
21 addresses via first class United States mail or send payments electronically as requested in the claim
22 form submitted, within twenty-one (21) calendar days of Defendants remitting the Gross Settlement
23 Sum to the Claims Administrator as set forth in Paragraph 50(d) of the Agreement.

24 13. Service Payment to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have applied for awards of service payments as
25 Class Representatives in the amount of \$11,000.00 to each Class Representative (the "Service Awards").
26 Plaintiffs' requests for the Service Awards are granted in part as follows: \$7,000.00 is awarded to Kendra
27 Sullivan, Marianna Williams, Johanna Mathews, and Sharmarray Ross; \$6,000.00 is awarded to Staci
28 Gilman; and \$5,000.00 is awarded to Kysha Drew and Jeannie Jones. In accordance with the Agreement,

1 the Claims Administrator shall make these Service Awards payments to the Class Representatives. The
2 Service Awards shall be delivered to the Class Representatives within twenty-one (21) calendar days after
3 receipt of the Gross Settlement Sum.

4 14. Attorneys' Fees to Class Counsel. Class Counsel has applied for an award of attorneys'
5 fees and costs incurred in this Action in the amount of \$608,169.37. Class Counsel's request for attorney's
6 fees and costs is granted. The Court awards \$608,169.37 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and costs
7 incurred in this Action. In accordance with the terms of the Agreement, the Claims Administrator shall
8 make this payment to Class Counsel within twenty-one (21) calendar days after receipt of the Gross
9 Settlement Sum.

10 15. Settlement Expenses. The Court approved the use of American Legal Claims Services,
11 LLC, as the Claims Administrator. The Court hereby approves fees and costs to be paid to the Claims
12 Administrator in the amount of \$105,000. In accordance with the Settlement Agreement, Settlement
13 Expenses shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Sum.

14 16. Release of Claims by Named Plaintiffs. By the Agreement, the General Release of All
15 Claims as identified in Exhibit C to the Settlement, this Final Approval Order, and the Final Judgment,
16 Named Plaintiffs "general release" is approved. Per the language in the separately executed General
17 Release of All Claims, Named Plaintiffs release Defendants from all claims.

18 17. Release of Claims by Settlement Class Members. By the Agreement, this Final Approval
19 Order, and the Final Judgment, the Settlement Class members who have not timely and properly opted out
20 of the settlement have released the Released Parties from any and all claims asserted in an individual
21 and/or class action, collective action or mass action, asserting any claims based on the facts alleged in
22 the complaint in the Action including but not limited to claims under the FCRA or any state and local
23 law equivalent, including the California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act, during the
24 period from and including June 6, 2017, through and including the date of preliminary settlement
25 approval for the Safeway Subclass, and during the period from and including February 20, 2018,
26 through and including the date of preliminary settlement approval for the Vons Subclass. The Released
27 Claims include claims under the FCRA and equivalent or corresponding state laws, including but not
28 limited to all statutory, compensatory, actual and punitive damages, restitution, declaratory, injunctive

1 and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and expenses, arising from or related to background checks,
2 investigative consumer reports, and/or consumer reports ordered through the date of preliminary
3 settlement approval, including the right to seek relief for such Released Claims by means of a class
4 action, collective action or mass action or proceeding. Claims of persons outside of the Settlement
5 Class who were screened after November 25, 2019, are not being released as part of this Settlement. It
6 is expressly intended and understood by the Parties that the Agreement is to be construed as a complete
7 settlement, accord, and satisfaction of the Settlement Class Members' Released Claims. No Settlement
8 Class Member may circumvent the spirit and intent of the release by seeking to join in any way together
9 with any other Settlement Class Members in any action whatsoever, including any mass action under
10 CAFA or otherwise, that asserts such Released Claims.

11 18. Dismissal of Action. The Court dismisses with prejudice all Released Claims on behalf of
12 the Settlement Class. The Court, pursuant to the General Release signed by Plaintiffs, also dismisses with
13 prejudice Plaintiffs' individual disclosure and authorization claims, and any and all of Plaintiffs' other
14 individual claims, known or unknown, that were asserted, or could have been asserted, against Defendants.

15 19. Binding Effect of Agreement, Order, and Judgment. The Agreement, this Final Approval
16 Order, and the Final Judgment are binding on Plaintiffs and on all Settlement Class Members who have
17 not submitted a timely and valid written notice of intent to opt-out of the settlement, and their respective
18 heirs, administrators, executors, representatives, trustees, successors, and assigns, and shall inure to the
19 benefit of Defendants and the other Released Parties, as well as to their respective, heirs, administrators,
20 representatives, trustees, successors, and assigns.

21 20. Cy Pres Award. The Court designates any *cy pres* award for the Employment Rights
22 Project at Bet Tzedek Legal Services.


23 21. Jurisdiction. Without affecting the finality of the Final Judgment in any way, the Court
24 retains jurisdiction of matters relating to this Order and the administration, interpretation, consummation,
25 and enforcement of the Agreement.

26 //
27 //
28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

DATED: June 21, 2021



The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge